

2,2',5,5'-Tetrachlorobenzidine

Onome Ugono, Marcel Douglas Jr, Nigam P. Rath and Alicia M. Beatty*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Missouri-St Louis, St Louis, Missouri, USA

Correspondence e-mail: beattya@umsl.edu


Received 17 May 2010; accepted 2 August 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 100\text{ K}$; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.001\text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.025; wR factor = 0.075; data-to-parameter ratio = 36.1.

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_8\text{Cl}_4\text{N}_2$, molecules lie on crystallographic twofold axes at the centre of the $\text{C}-\text{C}$ bonds linking the benzene rings, such that the asymmetric unit consists of a half-molecule. The individual molecules participate in intermolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$, $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Cl}$, $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Cl}$ and $\text{Cl}\cdots\text{Cl}$ [3.4503 (3) \AA] interactions.

Related literature

For studies involving the use of benzidines in organic syntheses, see: Schwenecke & Mayer (2005). For studies on 2,2',5,5'-tetrachlorobenzidine in crystal engineering, see: Dobrzycki & Wozniak (2007, 2008). For our studies on related structures, see: Beatty *et al.* (2002a, 2002b); Ugono *et al.* (2009).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_8\text{Cl}_4\text{N}_2$	$V = 1208.77(16)\text{ \AA}^3$
$M_r = 322.00$	$Z = 4$
Monoclinic, $I2/a$	$\text{Mo K}\alpha$ radiation
$a = 17.2346(11)\text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.96\text{ mm}^{-1}$
$b = 3.8767(2)\text{ \AA}$	$T = 100\text{ K}$
$c = 18.1573(19)\text{ \AA}$	$0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.14\text{ mm}$
$\beta = 94.872(3)^\circ$	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	11878 measured reflections 2961 independent reflections 2595 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.806$, $T_{\max} = 0.879$	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.025$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$	82 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.075$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.07$	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.62\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
2961 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.67\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
N1—H1A \cdots Cl2 ⁱ	0.88	2.79	3.3650 (8)	124
C3—H3 \cdots Cl1 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.71	3.5013 (9)	141
N1—H1B \cdots N1 ⁱ	0.88	2.90	3.2159 (12)	103

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - 1, -z$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

The authors are grateful to the University of Missouri-St. Louis for generous support and instrumentation. MD is grateful to the ACS Project SEED for a summer research fellowship. AMB acknowledges support from the NSF-CAREER # 0645758.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FJ2305).

References

- Beatty, A. M., Grange, K. E. & Simpson, A. E. (2002a). *Chem. Eur. J.* **8**, 3254–3259.
- Beatty, A. M., Schneider, C. L., Simpson, A. E. & Zaher, J. L. (2002b). *CrystEngComm*, **4**, 282–287.
- Bruker (2007). *APEX2* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dobrzycki, L. & Wozniak, K. (2007). *CrystEngComm*, **9**, 1029–1041.
- Dobrzycki, L. & Wozniak, K. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **9**, 525–533.
- Schwenecke, H. & Mayer, D. (2005). *Benzidine and Benzidine Derivatives*. In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.
- Ugono, O., Rath, N. P. & Beatty, A. M. (2009). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **9**, 4595–4598.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2285 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810030886]

2,2',5,5'-Tetrachlorobenzidine

O. Ugono, M. Douglas Jr, N. P. Rath and A. M. Beatty

Comment

Benzidines are of great importance in the pigments industry, as their derivatives are employed in the syntheses of a variety of azo-dyes (Schwenecke and Mayer 2005). Furthermore, the presence of two amine functionalities renders this class of molecules attractive to crystal engineers, who may wish to incorporate this class of rigid linear molecule in larger extended networks *via* hydrogen bonds (Dobrzycki and Wozniak 2008 a, b). During the course of experiments aimed at reacting the title compound with pyrazole-3,5-dicarboxylic acid, a crystalline phase was obtained and shown to be composed solely of 2,2',5,5'-tetrachlorobenzidine. A search of the Cambridge crystallographic structural database showed that this phase has not previously been reported. This molecule packs in monoclinic $I2/a$, a non-standard setting of $C2/c$, with one half of the molecule in the asymmetric unit. Very weak hydrogen bonding interactions exist in the structure; the increased lengths are probably due to the bulky chlorine atoms *ortho* to the amine functionalities.

Experimental

A 20 ml scintillation vial was charged with 52.0 mg (0.30 mmol) of 3,5-pyrazole dicarboxylic acid monohydrate, which was dissolved in 5.0 ml of a 3:2 MeOH:H₂O mixture affording a homogenous solution. To this solution was then added 48.3 mg (0.15 mmol) of 2,2',5,5'-tetrachlorobenzidine. The mixture obtained was filtered and the filtrate was allowed to slowly evaporate to yield colorless single crystals of 2,2',5,5'-tetrachlorobenzidine after a week.

Refinement

All non hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Phenyl hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and treated with a riding model C–H= 0.95 Å, $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}_{\text{aryl}})= 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for aromatic carbons. The amine hydrogen atoms were also placed in calculated positions and refined using the riding model N–H= 0.88 Å, $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}_{\text{amine}})= 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. Molecular structure showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoid.

2,2',5,5'-Tetrachlorobenzidine

Crystal data

C₁₂H₈Cl₄N₂

$F(000) = 648$

supplementary materials

$M_r = 322.00$	$D_x = 1.769 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $I2/a$	Melting point = 309–311 K
Hall symbol: -I 2ya	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 17.2346 (11) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 5249 reflections
$b = 3.8767 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 4.5\text{--}36.4^\circ$
$c = 18.1573 (19) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.96 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 94.872 (3)^\circ$	$T = 100 \text{ K}$
$V = 1208.77 (16) \text{ \AA}^3$	Blocks, colorless
$Z = 4$	$0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	2961 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite	2595 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.025$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 36.4^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.1^\circ$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.806, T_{\text{max}} = 0.879$	$h = -28 \rightarrow 28$
11878 measured reflections	$k = -3 \rightarrow 6$
	$l = -30 \rightarrow 26$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	0 restraints
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0404P)^2 + 0.5838P]$
	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.075$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
$S = 1.07$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.62 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
2961 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.67 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
82 parameters	

Special details

Experimental. All H atoms were added in their calculated positions and were treated using appropriate riding models.

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cl1	0.160889 (12)	0.57866 (5)	0.112011 (11)	0.01179 (5)
Cl2	0.490222 (11)	-0.04833 (5)	0.098542 (11)	0.01279 (6)
N1	0.42631 (4)	0.2547 (2)	0.23242 (4)	0.01399 (13)
H1A	0.4109	0.3405	0.2736	0.017*
H1B	0.4733	0.1666	0.2320	0.017*
C1	0.25315 (5)	0.4094 (2)	0.10312 (5)	0.00977 (13)
C2	0.27558 (5)	0.2934 (2)	0.03511 (4)	0.00940 (12)
C3	0.35064 (5)	0.1556 (2)	0.03688 (4)	0.01012 (13)
H3	0.3688	0.0715	-0.0077	0.012*
C4	0.39925 (5)	0.1373 (2)	0.10113 (5)	0.00990 (13)
C5	0.37670 (5)	0.2584 (2)	0.16844 (4)	0.01036 (13)
C6	0.30195 (5)	0.3964 (2)	0.16774 (5)	0.01065 (13)
H6	0.2842	0.4830	0.2123	0.013*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cl1	0.00978 (9)	0.01507 (9)	0.01067 (9)	0.00235 (6)	0.00183 (6)	0.00083 (6)
Cl2	0.00832 (9)	0.01894 (10)	0.01088 (10)	0.00207 (6)	-0.00065 (6)	0.00105 (6)
N1	0.0119 (3)	0.0218 (3)	0.0076 (3)	0.0000 (3)	-0.0027 (2)	-0.0006 (2)
C1	0.0089 (3)	0.0118 (3)	0.0086 (3)	0.0002 (2)	0.0006 (2)	0.0005 (2)
C2	0.0084 (3)	0.0118 (3)	0.0079 (3)	-0.0002 (2)	0.0000 (2)	0.0000 (2)
C3	0.0093 (3)	0.0127 (3)	0.0081 (3)	0.0001 (2)	-0.0002 (2)	-0.0006 (2)
C4	0.0076 (3)	0.0130 (3)	0.0088 (3)	0.0001 (2)	-0.0004 (2)	0.0003 (2)
C5	0.0098 (3)	0.0128 (3)	0.0082 (3)	-0.0021 (2)	-0.0009 (2)	0.0005 (2)
C6	0.0110 (3)	0.0135 (3)	0.0074 (3)	-0.0007 (2)	0.0005 (2)	-0.0005 (2)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Cl1—C1	1.7402 (8)	C2—C3	1.3974 (11)
Cl2—C4	1.7295 (8)	C2—C2 ⁱ	1.4872 (16)
N1—C5	1.3827 (11)	C3—C4	1.3791 (11)
N1—H1A	0.8800	C3—H3	0.9500
N1—H1B	0.8800	C4—C5	1.3948 (12)
C1—C6	1.3854 (12)	C5—C6	1.3940 (12)
C1—C2	1.3993 (12)	C6—H6	0.9500
C5—N1—H1A	120.0	C2—C3—H3	118.9
C5—N1—H1B	120.0	C3—C4—C5	121.97 (7)
H1A—N1—H1B	120.0	C3—C4—Cl2	119.03 (6)
C6—C1—C2	122.84 (8)	C5—C4—Cl2	118.98 (6)
C6—C1—Cl1	115.40 (6)	N1—C5—C6	121.10 (8)
C2—C1—Cl1	121.75 (6)	N1—C5—C4	122.30 (8)
C3—C2—C1	115.29 (7)	C6—C5—C4	116.56 (7)
C3—C2—C2 ⁱ	120.00 (8)	C1—C6—C5	121.05 (8)

supplementary materials

C1—C2—C2 ⁱ	124.63 (8)	C1—C6—H6	119.5
C4—C3—C2	122.26 (8)	C5—C6—H6	119.5
C4—C3—H3	118.9		
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.26 (12)	C3—C4—C5—N1	-177.11 (8)
C11—C1—C2—C3	-178.33 (6)	C12—C4—C5—N1	4.10 (11)
C6—C1—C2—C2 ⁱ	178.20 (6)	C3—C4—C5—C6	0.59 (12)
C11—C1—C2—C2 ⁱ	-1.39 (9)	C12—C4—C5—C6	-178.20 (6)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.36 (12)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-1.26 (12)
C2 ⁱ —C2—C3—C4	-177.46 (6)	C11—C1—C6—C5	178.35 (6)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.56 (13)	N1—C5—C6—C1	178.02 (8)
C2—C3—C4—Cl2	178.23 (6)	C4—C5—C6—C1	0.29 (12)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1/2, y, -z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , °)

$D—H\cdots A$	$D—H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—H\cdots A$
N1—H1A \cdots Cl2 ⁱⁱ	0.88	2.79	3.3650 (8)	124
C3—H3 \cdots Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.71	3.5013 (9)	141
N1—H1B \cdots N1 ⁱⁱ	0.88	2.90	3.2159 (12)	103

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2$; (iii) $-x+1/2, y-1, -z$.

Table 2
Cl \cdots Cl interactions (\AA)

Cl1 \cdots Cl2 ⁱ	3.4503 (3)
-------------------------------	------------

Symmetry code: (i) $-1/2+x, 1-y, z$.

Fig. 1

